

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LATWAHN MCELROY, ) Case No.: 1:20-cv-00658-NONE-SAB (PC)  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 v. ) ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE  
14 GOMEZ, et al., ) WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED  
15 Defendants. ) [ECF No. 8]  
16 )  
17 )

18 Plaintiff Latwahn McElroy is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights  
19 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

20 On June 11, 2020, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims, and  
21 granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff has not filed an  
22 amended complaint or otherwise responded to the Court's order. Accordingly, within **fourteen (14)**  
23 days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why the action should  
24 not be dismissed. (Id.) Plaintiff is warned that failure to comply with this order will result in a

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 recommendation to a District Judge that the instant action be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to  
2 prosecute, failure to obey a court order, and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: July 20, 2020



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE